Case 1:22-cv-00838-RJJ-PJG $\,$ ECF No. 85-78, PageID.1763 $\,$ Filed 04/09/25 $\,$ Page 1 $\,$ EXH1BIT 78

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

D.L.; K.S.F.; and K.B., by and through her parent and next friend H.B.,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. 22-cv-00838-RJJ-PJG Hon. Robert J. Jonker Mag. Phillip J. Green

MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION,

Defendant.

DEPOSITION OF: MARK MLAWER

LOCATION: Remote Location DATE: Friday, November 1, 2024

10:00 a.m.

Taken remotely in the above-entitled cause, before Stefanie S. Pohl, Certified Shorthand Reporter, CSR 5616, and Notary Public for the County of Clinton.

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

28 questions. MS. DIAZ: Can we take, sorry, about a ten-minute break before I ask questions? Thanks. (Brief recess.) EXAMINATION Good morning, Mr. Mlawer, how are you today? Good morning. I'm fine. 10 Hope you are. 11 12 MS. DIAZ: And, Ticara, I just want to put on the record that we'd like the 30 days for Mr. Mlawer to 1.4 be able to have the chance to review the transcript 15 MS. HENDLEY: Okay. MS. DIAZ: Thanks. 16 (By Ms. Diaz) Mr. Mlawer, Ms. Hendley went through your 17 18 CV a little bit, but could you tell us what expertise 19 you have regarding general supervision under IDEA? 20 Sure. I began working on general supervision issues in 21 1988 in my position as executive director of a coalition 22 for inclusive education. That -- that appointment 23 coincided with a federal monitoring event or the 24 aftermath of a federal monitoring event here in 2 Maryland, and I did a fair amount of work around the --

> PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

a suppressed draft monitoring report from the feds in 1 2 1989 and the follow-up monitoring that took place a few years later in terms of preparing for that. That was a course several years ago under IDEA so much has changed since then and in that era there was a state -- a state plan, and I reviewed such documents, provided comments to the feds, and I got quite interested in these kinds of issues so that's where it all began. Okay. And since 1988 and 1989 have you had experience 10 reviewing general supervision in other states? Yes. If you could put my resume back up I can --11 12 I can do that. 13 If you'd like me to. 14 15 A I don't have a copy of that with me, so. 16 O Okay. I'll pull it up for you. 17 18 Let me adjust my settings a little bit. 19 Okav. 20 Can you see that okay? 21 It's a blank -- black screen for me. 22 Oh, goodness. Okay. Maybe it's still loading. Let me 23 try it one more time. Okay. I can see a black screen. 2.4 Interesting. 25 MS. DIAZ: Mitch, can you go ahead and try

> PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

sharing it on your screen. I'm not sure why it's not coming up on mine. Yup, that looks great or at least on (By Ms. Diaz) Mr. Mlawer, can you see it okay? Yes, I can. Okay. Just let Mitch know where you want to scroll up Well, I can go in reverse chronological order. In the Emma C. case the State, in addition to the district, they were both defendants. The State side of the case concerned its monitoring system and technically continues to concern its monitoring system so I had occasion over the course of this project to review multiple iterations -- multiple generations of the State's monitoring system and make determinations regarding it and draw conclusions regarding it depending on which era of the case you're referring to. My work -- my most recent work from 2021 to the present for Wyoming Department of Ed is all about general supervision specific -- specifically performance based monitoring. That aspect of general supervision. In the AB case my -- my work also had to do with MDE's general supervision in -- in this one particular case that concerned one student. In North Carolina my brief -- relatively brief work

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Case 1:22-cv-00838-RJJ-PJG ECF No. 85-78, PageID.1765 Filed 04/09/25 Page 3

2.4

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

1.7

2.4

with them, I helped counsel for the P&A in North
Carolina understand their state's general supervision
system through the review of complaints and complaint
resolutions that the instruments North Carolina used for
monitoring and there was several other kinds of
documents that related to monitoring and general
supervision.

Utah Disability Law Center, that was -- just that concerned one school district and its attempt to realign placements for students with disabilities so that was not really general supervision.

In ACL/Michigan I reviewed aspect of what the

State's -- Michigan special ed monitoring system looked

like at that time. It's specifically for the issues

that were raised by plaintiffs in that litigation so

that was general supervision.

This -- my prior work for Wyoming Department of Ed which is listed here was all about general supervision which included an evaluation of the state's monitoring system and then some training and technical assistance that I provided as the state redeveloped and then implemented its performance based systems. I also facilitated meetings that were prestaffing of monitoring visits.

Please tell me if I'm getting into too much detail

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

or if there's something in specific -- specifically you'd like me to focus on.

Q You're fine. You can keep going.

A Okay. The 2015 project for what was then DRM looked at two complaints, one of which was systemic and drew some conclusions about -- about those complaints and their resolutions.

The North Dakota project was just facilitation of prestaffing for monitoring visits that the North Dakota was about to go on. That, of course, is general supervision as well.

The project for the Louisiana Department of
Education also concerned general supervision and
monitoring in that I was -- in the first generation I
was training the people who were going to be monitors
and how to implement the monitoring system. I assisted
to some extent in the development of that system and
provided technical assistance in the early years to
monitoring teams as they monitored. So I did their two
evaluations, one after the first year of implementation
and the second after the fourth year of implementation.
I also wrote a manual that the state continued to use
for training, both the leaders and the members of
monitoring teams.

The New Hampshire project was a full evaluation of

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

that state's monitoring system at that time and -complete with recommendations were approved.

The external expert in Newark, New Jersey also had the state as -- as a defendant, and I don't recall if I reviewed the state's monitoring system for that project. I don't think so. I was basically -- after the first year or so I was reviewing drafts of corrective action plans that the parties were negotiating about and providing advice and assistance to plaintiff's counsel in that case.

The Southern Poverty Law Center projects which had to do with multiple states and districts, I was reviewing -- I took the complaints that were systemic and broke them down into recommended complaint investigation methodologies for those states to use. I then -- those were for the plaintiffs in those situations and who then used those documents as I understood it to negotiate with those SEAs around what steps the state was going to take.

In the Jamie S. case in Wisconsin there had been proposed by the defendants in -- in that matter a monitoring system that purported to be able to fix the problems in Milwaukee at that time and that was called a building based assessment process, and I reviewed that, critiqued it and then testified both by deposition and a

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

trial.

The Florida project was all general supervision.

Had to do with complaint management. I did a -- an
evaluation of their complaint system as of I think that
was about 2005 and I trained -- did some training for
their complaint investigators and their monitors for how
-- regarding how you support findings of noncompliance
with adequate evidence of reason. I facilitated a task
force for them, but that was not really general
supervision.

The -- that Michigan project about the charter schools was all general supervision. I looked at the -- how the state was monitoring special educating compliance in its charter schools.

The Texas expert witness work was all monitoring.

The -- the experts hired by plaintiffs collaboratively developed a potential monitoring system for Texas to use that would resolve the issues in that complaint. My testimony however concerned TEA's monitoring instruments. The standards they -- the agency was using to draw conclusions in their monitoring and then I testified regarding that.

The Pennsylvania project in the Cordero case I did two evaluations of the state's compliance with -- with the federal -- the court orders that had been put in

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

10

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

21

22

23

2.4

25

37

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

place, did that project and that — so that was general supervision but I was looking not at the statute but at those court orders and we assess you can see the list of things that that — that those reports assessed which included monitoring policy development and implementation.

The first report in '97 I did alone. The second report I did in partnership with one of the R -- so-called RRCs, it doesn't exist anymore, Regional Resource Centers, which were funded by the -- the US Department of Ed to provide assistance. At that time it was all regional, so this one covered a region that included Pennsylvania.

That technical assistant little project concerned the case of which I'm court monitor before I was appointed as court monitor and what I did for the first Corrective Action Plan was develop -- essentially they were called performance effectiveness parameters. They were ways to monitor compliance with that Corrective Action Plan. At the point I did that, of course, I had no idea I was going to be appointed as the monitor myself but so that was what I did.

The National Council on Disability project, which
was ultimately published in the year 2000 as Baptist One
Civil Rights, was all about general supervision. It

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

looked at federal monitoring and oversight of state's implementation of the act so in the course of doing that I read the -- I read and analyzed the most recent monitoring report that the feds had done of every single state and created a database to capture the information and then looked at six states in depth over time to see to what extent the problems that had been noted originally had been fixed by the federal monitoring and oversight system and then I wrote draft chapters -- I think it was two draft chapters, of that report based on that information most of which ended up in the final report which is available online to review.

The Plaintiff's Representative Project, that was -there was a longstanding lawsuit, a class action in
Baltimore called the Vaughn G. case, and in 1994 the
parties attempted to settle that case, and they created
an entity called the Management Oversight Team in which
the state superintendent of schools participated on
behalf of the state, the city superintendent of schools
on behalf of the LEA and me on behalf of the plaintiffs.

There were at that time 18,000 students in that class, and it had the authority in theory at least to -I have the language in there -- make, review and direct all decisions which affected special education in the district. The reality turned out to be somewhat

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

differe	nt,	but	that	was	the	charge	of	the	team.	
Ul	tima	ately	that	was	dis	sbanded	and	l whe	en another	

- Q Okay. I'm going to stop you right there.
- A Sure.

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

5

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Q So based on the portion of your resume you just reviewed for us, it sounds like you've had experience looking at general supervision all over the country. Would you agree with that?
- A I would.
- Q Okay. And you mention a few court cases where you either were deposed or you testified. Is Jamie S. one of them?
- A Jamie S., yes.
- Q Okay. And there was a Texas case as well?
 - A $\,$ Yes, that was TEA -- Angel G. versus TEA, if I remember right.
 - Q And in either of those cases were you determined to be an expert by the Court when you testified?
 - A I believe so, but it's so long ago I don't remember if that was challenged or how it went down, but I did testify, so.
- Q Okay. And do you recall which areas you were determined to be an expert regarding or you were proposed to be an expert regarding in those cases?

Mark Mlawer

		November 1, 2024						
		46						
1		conclusion. In general that's how I would approach it.						
2	Q	Okay. Okay. What is Child Find?						
3	Α	Child Find is ensuring state requirement on the						
4		state is to ensure that all students who can be						
5		reasonably suspected of having a disability and needing						
6		special education are identified, located and evaluated.						
7	Q	Okay. And who has that obligation?						
8	A	The state does.						
9	Q	Is it an important obligation?						
10	A	It's crucial.						
11	Q	Okay.						
12	A	If you're not evaluated and given an IEP then you're not						
13		going to receive services that respond to your						
14		particular unique needs in the words of staff.						
15	Q	So ultimately children are harmed when states don't						
16		fulfill this obligation?						
17	A	Yes, that's correct.						
18	Q	Okay. How many categories of eligibility are there in						
19		IDEA?						
20	A	I don't remember the number, 12, 13, 14, something like						

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695

Yeah. There are a number of different disabilities you

Okay. So what happens if a school can't appropriately

So a number of them, right?

can be identified under.

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695 Mark Mlawer November 1, 2024

		47
1		evaluate or a school district rather can't appropriately
2		evaluate for one of those categories?
3	A	Can't? Mean does not have the expertise?
4	Q	Correct.
5	A	They what a state a district should be doing under
6		that circumstance is reach out to the state that has the
7		ultimate authority, explain to the state what it lacks
8		and ask the state for assistance in getting that
9		expertise that they need.
10	Q	Okay. And if one piece of that Child Find system within
11		a district is not working, does the district have a
12		functioning Child Find system?
13	A	No, if it's not if it's not working, it's not
14		functioning.
15	Q	Okay. So, for instance, with KPS you identified that
16		there were issues regarding comprehensive evaluations
17	A	Yes.
18	Q	so in that situation sorry.
19	A	That was part of the issues that the complaint
20		resolutions uncovered, yes.
21	Q	Correct. Would the district be effectively implementing
22		Child Find if it continued to have issues with
23		comprehensive evaluations?
24	Α	No, it would not.
25	Q	Why not?

PRS - Pohl Reporting Services (517)243-2695